

## **CITY COUNCIL MEETING – Monday August 8, 2011**

The Council of the City of Zanesville met in regular session at 7:00 p.m., Monday, August 8, 2011 in the City Council Chambers, 401 Market Street, Zanesville, Ohio.

Mr. Vincent led those present in the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The following members of Council answered Roll Call: Mr. Zakany, Mr. Baker, Mr. Tarbert, Mrs. Gentry, Mrs. Norman, Mr. Kilpatrick, Mr. Hutcheson, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Tilton, and Mr. Vincent.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Zakany moved to accept the minutes as printed, seconded by Mr. Tilton.  
Motion carried.

### **COMMUNICATIONS, REPORTS, AND RESOLUTIONS**

Communication from Michael A. Sims – RE: City Cemeteries 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Report (2011).

Mr. Tilton moved to receive, seconded by Mr. Roberts.

Mr. Vincent: Mr. Sims, do we ever look at the larger picture instead of this little slice to see how trends are going?

Mr. Sims: At the end of the year we look at it and we have not raised any fees for a couple of years now. That is something we will have to look at the end of the year.

Mr. Vincent: I guess maybe something long term, five to ten years from now?

Mr. Sims: We are getting by; however, the cemetery funds come from the general fund other than the endowment and the trust funds. We are still short a couple of employees.

Mr. Vincent: Are our fees comparable?

Mr. Sims: Yes, actually we are a little bit low compared to some that are around us, but I do not want to get exorbitant either. It probably has been several years since we increased fees.

Motion carried.

### **PROPOSED ORDINANCES**

None.

### **ORDINANCES FOR ACTION**

Ordinance No. 11-35 – Introduced by Council – Authorizing the proper City official to enter into an agreement with the Licking County Ohio Building Department for building official, master plan review, and backup inspection services and to assist with the administration and enforcement of the Ohio Building Code within the City of Zanesville.

Mr. Tilton moved for third reading and passage, seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Tarbert: We have been given several emails and offers. The last thing that I saw was a response to the City's offer of 50% where the County offering 40%. Is that the last?

Mayor Zwelling: We just received it this afternoon. Tim Smith saw it just before Council this evening.

Mr. Tarbert: So the administration has not talked about the counter offer yet?

Mayor Zwelling: We have not. It is really their original offer of 40% and we said we want 50%, plus we will do all the paperwork. This afternoon we received a response they were staying with the 40%.

Mr. Tarbert: Is that the only difference? There is a letter that went from 10% to the County to 50% and we will process the applications with several paragraphs with terms and conditions in this letter that we sent to them. Have they agreed to those conditions other than the 40 – 50%? Are we down to just that issue?

Mayor Zwelling: I think if we do the 50% then we will do all the paperwork. That is our offer. When it was down to 40%, I assumed they were going to do all the paperwork.

Mr. Porter: That is partially correct. He will still do the inspections and we will do all the administrative work. It is not a counter proposal, it is our original proposal.

Mr. Tarbert: When we started this out you were paying us 10%, correct?

Mr. Porter: Yes. Our proposal is 40%.

Mr. Tarbert: You came up to 40%.

Mr. Porter: You do the inspections we keep control and do the administrative part.

Mayor Zwelling: Then Mr. Smith ran the numbers and we responded to them with the 50% offer and we do the paperwork.

Mr. Tarbert: What is the difference between 40% and 50%?

Mayor Zwelling: I think Mr. Smith could tell us that.

Mr. Smith: Our endeavor is to bring this back in house. It has been several things mentioned about a “one stop shop”. The only true “one stop shop” for City customers is in our office. We can handle zoning, storm water, and everything from this location. Our endeavor is to bring this back in house and work with the County from the building official and plan review perspective. When I took this offer to the Commissioners and to the county building department on Friday, I received a call from the county building department telling me they could live with this. We would just have to work out some logistics, but then paper the Mayor handed me today basically said that is not correct. I think we are close. We still have options to exercise to bring this here. The “hang up” is shipping the business to Licking County. We are looking to hire a building official and plan reviewer on a part-time basis to get this back in house. We are looking at creating a maximum revenue stream for the citizens of the City of Zanesville. We are close.

Mr. Tarbert: What is the difference between 40 – 50%? What are we arguing over right now?

Mr. Smith: I ran the number on a 50% split and that is basically a 12% decrease in revue for the county. It would be \$15,000 extra for the city. That does not seem like much, but when you are talking about the budget for weed, litter control, and demolition, \$15,000 is a lot of money in our office.

Mr. Tarbert: So every 10% is \$15,000.

Mr. Smith: Thereabout \$10,000 - \$15,000. That is still way above what we would have had in expenses if we “farmed it out” at \$50 per hour to Licking County. The expenses for them would be about 25 – 40%, which would probably be on the low side at 25 – 30%. We are still giving up dollars at the 50-50 split, but we at least are making an effort to keep everything here. That is what Council basically said they wanted to do. With this contract if we hire someone part-time to do the building official and master plan review we are still going to need backup personnel. We will either contract with Muskingum County to do that or Licking County has already offered to do those services. I am recommending to Council that they table this and let us go back to see if we can come to an agreement and if we cannot then we will offer the options that we have talked about. We will still need to look at contracting someone for backup services if we do bring this in house.

Mr. Tarbert: The offer the county has made over last year was a net increase to the City is \$45,000.

Mr. Smith: They are offering us 40%, which is a net increase of 30%. The problem with this setup is we need to be able to schedule our inspections and do the logistical things from our office if we are going to do this. I will not only be taking on commercial work, but I still have my residential and code enforcement things to work out. If we leave it with the county office, they dispatch over that they need an inspection and if I already have an appointment setup that day I have to alter everything to accommodate the commercial inspections. We are looking at holding back part of it so we can be responsible to schedule things through our office. This would give us more control over the inspection end of it in scheduling inspections.

Mr. Tarbert: Commissioners, what is the issue with the scheduling?

Mr. Lavy: I do not believe there is a problem with scheduling.

Mr. Smith: It would not be for them, they just fax over that they need an inspector at this location at this time. It would be for me.

Mr. Tarbert: How does it affect your office if Tim does the scheduling?

Mr. Porter: I think he just said he has trouble getting the residential done now.

Mr. Smith: I did not say that. I do not have any trouble getting anything done. I do not like conflicts in scheduling. People call in between 8-9:00 a.m. in the morning and do their scheduling. If I do inspections for Mid East right now...

Mr. Tarbert: If we do the 40% contract is there a way to work it so that Mr. Smith can do his own scheduling?

Mr. Lavy: We would like to keep it the way it is right at this moment, as it is currently, they fax it over and have him do the inspections in the city and still give you the 40%. As far as the scheduling, I think you are getting out of the loop as to where the master plans are filed at, the master plans, the building official's office, so they can do the scheduling. If it comes here and he does his own scheduling, how is that going to work? Somebody has to keep records and be in control in that office.

Mr. Tarbert: If they fax over an order of scheduled are you expected to get it done in the next six hours?

Mr. Smith: If they set up an appointment then I am obligated to keep that appointment. I want to have control over my inspection schedule.

Mr. Tarbert: Do you set up his appointments?

Mr. Smith: Under the current system they would.

Lynn Tatro: I am one of the building inspectors. People call the office to schedule an inspection. We tell them we need 24 hours notice and it is put in a computer for our inspectors. We have our own calendar. They put it in the next best time slot. We have an opportunity to call them and arrange the schedule anyway we see fit within the 24 hour period if we can get to them in that time frame.

Mr. Tarbert: So can you call Mr. Smith's office and work with his schedule to make sure he has time to go out and do those inspections?

Lynn Tatro: I am not the person that takes those phone calls.

Mr. Tarbert: I mean is that possible?

Lynn Tatro: I am sure it is. It is either the contractors that have to call his office or if the administrative part has the inspection scheduling under that, I do not know what all that is, if they are going to have to have it done and inspections come in and it could be faxed over to his office for the same options we give all the contractors. We try to give it to them within the 24 hours, we still have 70 some hours. We try to do the inspections within 24 hours and they can either call his office or our office however, it is set up.

Mr. Smith: Mr. Tarbert, the problem I have with this is that we are negotiating before Council and that is not what is before you. There is an ordinance before you that allows us to contract with someone to do a service. What I am saying is we are close. We need to get together and negotiate this last area, so from this ordinance standpoint either defeated it or table it to give us time to do that. We are this close to having an agreement. We are adamant about bringing this back in house, one way or another. We are not just looking at short term we are looking at long term implementation and restructuring this entire department over a period of time. We would certainly like to cooperate and work with the county and I think we both need to budge a little. We have budgeted more than they have from this original agreement. We want to pull this out and we negotiated with them in good faith. They are the ones that broke off the negotiations.

Mr. Tarbert: Tim you are going way back, you are not answering the questions, you are telling the same story we have heard before....

Mr. Smith: I do not think we need to negotiate here. We need to table the ordinance or defeated it, but give us time to at least try to get this ironed out. It does not need to be done here, it needs to be done where we can sit down and negotiate. One person is telling me one thing and I found out another one this afternoon. I think we are close.

Mr. Vincent: Council is trying to understand this better, as far as what is going on and what the issues are as far as being positive and help resolve this issue. My thoughts are we are going from 10% to 40% and I know there are other parts to this and I know you want to take this in a different direction. My initial thoughts are that is pretty generous and as Mr. Tarbert started to say at \$15,000 per 10% that is an additional \$45,000. That is going to go a long way if it is put into litter and weed control. I thought that was excellent. I also think we need to look at the full picture, that there are lots of dealings between the city and county.

Mr. Smith: We just need time to set down again, look at both proposals, come up with something solid, and I think we can do that in the next couple of weeks.

Mr. Vincent: We want to get this resolved and I appreciate the two commissioners coming here tonight, a second night, to help resolve this.

Mr. Hillis: If the administration is in the process of negotiating a contract I would let them negotiate the contract. I certainly would not want someone negotiating my contract by sitting in front of the other side saying I think what they offered is a good deal. It pulls the rug out from the side that is trying to negotiate for you.

Mr. Tarbert: Unless the negotiation have been going on for a long time and were getting different stories from everyone. Often the administration come to us and says we need some guidance from Council because I need to know if you are going to approve this or not. So here is our guidance.

Mr. Hillis: Typically when we talk about contracts, whether it is employee bargaining or other contracts, you go into an executive session instead having the other side sit right in front of you as you negotiate the terms of this contract.

Mr. Vincent: Mayor, have you been involved in setting down with the Commissioners on this?

Mayor Zwelling: Mr. Smith and I have been talking regularly. He is giving the message to them as he and I have agreed upon. We did just get the response to our last message this afternoon. Mr. Smith did not see it until just before the Council meeting tonight. He thought everything was under control and everything was acceptable to them, so if he says they are this close then let's give him a chance to close it out.

Mr. Vincent: I would like for you to be involved with this also and that might be the little part that is needed at this point.

Mr. Porter: What are you saying is acceptable the 50% or the 40%, if we are this close?

Mayor Zwelling: Well, the ideal thing for the city would be to have the whole thing here. At 50% we are giving up something. You asked us to crunch these figures at 40% and see if we could live with it. We said we could go with 50%, but it would not be the ideal situation of having it all here and it would leave something on the table and keeps us doing business solely with the county which sounds like what Council wants to do. It is not the best of all situations for the city, but if they are this close to hashing out the details and they want me in on it I will sit down with everyone involved. Mr. Smith has done a good job so far and we have talked about every phase of this and he has gone back and communicated the answers. He was surprised to see a letter.

Mr. Porter: We were surprised to see this Friday morning. We are not in session and you had our proposal for two weeks. This showed up Friday morning at the Court House when we are not in session telling us you need a decision right away. I think our original proposal is our proposal. I think we decided today that ....

Mayor Zwelling: It sounds to me that hashing out some details about inspections, he is a busy man in the city and he schedules several inspections for next Tuesday and he get a call on Monday from the county to be here, here, and here on Tuesday. That is what he is trying to get away from, so we are that close to hashing out a few details, let's hash them out and have an agreement.

Mr. Porter: Who is going to hash that out? The remark about the building department said they could live with that. I do not know if that was Larry Richcreek that said it or who said it, but that is not his responsibility. I think that would be a Commissioner decision. We would like to be involved with these negotiations instead of firing letters back and forth to each other.

Mayor Zwelling: I would be happy sit down with you and be involved in the whole thing.

Mr. Porter: I cannot stress how important it is for us to work together. I cannot stress that enough. With local government cuts we are going to have to do some shared services.

Mayor Zwelling: We work together almost all the time.

Mr. Vincent: It sounds like, Mayor you will be meeting with the Commissioners, Mr. Smith, and I am not sure who from the building department, will sit down together and work on this?

Mayor Zwelling: I think we will have hashed out by the next Council meeting. Is that fair with you?

Mr. Porter: That is fine.

Mr. Zakany: Without Licking County being involved in this and dealing with the Muskingum County Commissioners, if we had not had any involvement with Licking County we would not be getting deal that you are trying to present to us as a good deal. You would have let us pay the big price and you would have kept the money. But with Licking County coming into the picture you decided we better do something for the City of Zanesville. I am for it. I do not care for Licking County at least you had the opportunity to pass the money on without us negotiating and try to get a better deal from you. You did not offer a better deal until you thought there was a third party.

Mr. Lavy: We had a contract with the city at 10%. We were negotiating for percentages. We never heard anything from the city until your proposal here.

Mr. Vincent: Mr. Zakany, there is a contract in place and they did not know there was an issue.

Mr. Zakany: We are talking about new contracts.

Mr. Tilton: After all the discussion I want to change my motion. I would like to table this for two weeks to let the county and city administration try to come to an agreement.

Mr. Tilton moved to table for two weeks, seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Vincent: I do have two petitioners who want to speak on this, but with tabling, it ends discussion. So I invite you to come back next time to speak on this, if it passes to table.

Motion carried.

Mr. Roberts and Mr. Hutcheson abstained.

## **TRAFFIC ORDERS**

Traffic Order 11-01 – Section one: Rescind Traffic Order 02-07 No parking anytime Zone of south side of Roosevelt 250' west from alley east of 1250 Roosevelt Avenue.

Mr. Hutcheson moved to receive, seconded by Mrs. Gentry.

Mr. Tarbert: Is this the side away from the school?

Mr. Brandford: That is rescinding that traffic order and establishing no parking as in Section No. Two.

Mr. Vincent: The Clerk missed the seconded part and she will read it now.

Section two: There is hereby established a No Parking Anytime Zone of both sides of Roosevelt Avenue from Western Avenue to the entrance to Roosevelt Jr. High School.

Mr. Tarbert: Was that done in conjunction with the principle or school board? How did you come up with that?

Mr. Brandford: I see that it states Roosevelt Jr. High School; it should be John McIntire Elementary School. There should have been a letter attached to the order. The school is involved in this.

Mr. Tarbert: This is for John McIntire Elementary School?

Mr. Brandford: Right.

Mr. Tilton moved to amend Traffic Order 11-01, Section two to read John McIntire Elementary School instead of Roosevelt Jr. High School. Seconded by Mr. Roberts.

Motion carried.

Mr. Vincent: In that area, I question, on weekends when things are not going on and for parking off hours and weekends, do you get complaints on that issue from neighbors?

Mr. Brandford: Not really, we have talked with Kevin Appleman about this to help determine what is the best way to do this and that is why he sent that letter. If you make it timed you would need the police to be in the area at certain times. We thought this was the best way to handle this. There was one person that had some concerns about the housing, but in that part you basically have the school. We are trying to make it clear so if we do have some kind of emergency that it would be clear. Part of the problem was teachers and other people that should not be parking on the street.

Mr. Zakany: From Western Avenue to the school as an area of no parking, but Roosevelt Avenue is residents and there is room for two lanes of travel with parking on both sides of the street on Roosevelt from Western to Blue Avenue.

Mr. Brandford: We are not talking about Blue Avenue. We are talking about from Western toward the school. We are not talking about Western toward ... Not that part at all. Just from Western west to the end of Roosevelt.

Motion carried.

Traffic Order 11-02 – Section one: There is hereby established a 30 minute Loading/Unloading Zone in front of 126 Muskingum Avenue.

Mr. Roberts moved to receive, seconded by Mr. Hutcheson.  
Motion carried.

#### **PRIVATE PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:**

Petition from Carl Robinson, 817 Maple Avenue:

Mr. Robinson: The first thing I want to point out to City Council, that in a recent ruling by the U.S. Supreme Court, March 2, 2011, Case called Snider vs. Phelps they ruled you cannot place limitations, if you do not like to topic of discussion on freedom of speech. In fact Chief Justice John Roberts wrote, on behalf of the majority of the Supreme Court, such speech cannot be restricted simply because it is upsetting or arouses contempt. I'd really appreciate it if I stopped being harassed at City Council because people do not like what I am saying. I am back now and I am going to stay back. With that being said, I have something that does not bring me great pleasure to speak on tonight, however I am tired of being called a liar by City Council and various city officials. I have an affidavit letter that dates back to 10/31/2008 from Code Enforcement, generated by Tim Smith. I bring this up now because this case is not ended until July, 2009 which was after I was arrested at City Council, so I couldn't speak. This letter basically says I was contacted as to a code violation on my sidewalk and that I did not contact the City of Zanesville or Tim Smith's office back. To that I say "hogwash". I have a letter here dated and signed by Michelle (I believe from Code Enforcement) dated 07/08/2008 where I contacted Tim Smith. He does say in this affidavit, extension granted: Yes, Mr. Robinson requested a six month extension on July 8, 2008 and a three month extension was given to 10/31/2008. So there is one contact they said did not exist. I have a second letter dates 10/27/2008. Mr. Vincent has a copy, I could not give all of you copies of this tonight, where I did contact the Code Enforcement to convey my intentions on this matter, signed for by Tim Smith, himself, but I don't contact Code Enforcement. Here is a letter dated to me 10/31/2008 indicated he had submitted an affidavit to the court. He was recommending, I do believe, jail time and fines for a cracked sidewalk. Give me a break. I am tired of the city coming after me. OK? So, the next time that I stand here and say something is fact – it is fact or I wouldn't be saying it. I don't appreciate being arrested for it; I don't appreciate being harassed for it; I don't appreciate people coming to court and telling lies in order to get a conviction. And those that done it, know who I'm talking about. I am tired of it. And with that being said..

Mr. Vincent: Excuse me. Mr. Robinson, with that, we do have a rule, a three minute rule, so with that I'll ask Council....

Mr. Robinson: Five seconds.

Mr. Vincent: You have five seconds.

Mr. Robinson: With that being said, I have not served the city yet, but I have filed in Federal Court a lawsuit last Friday on the 5<sup>th</sup>. I want it to stop and if that is the only way to get it stopped that is what we will do.

#### **MISCELLANEOUS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

Mayor Zwelling: The Y-Bridge Arts Festival was last weekend and was a tremendous success. Home Magazine was down Friday night and presented me with – we were picked several months ago – as one of the best Home Towns in Ohio. They made this presentation to me and the publisher from Home Magazine said when they investigated Zanesville and the Chamber of Commerce showed them around. When they got back and discussed Zanesville it was a "no brainer" that Zanesville was first. That made me feel awful good about Zanesville and my statement was confirmed, what some of us have known all along that Zanesville is a great hometown with lots of wonderful people.

St Nicholas Festival was going on last weekend from Wednesday through Saturday.

Annie was performed at the Finley Avenue Theater and it was magnificent.

If anyone says there is nothing to do in Zanesville, Ohio they do not know what they are talking about.

As far as Secrest goes we have a new booking, Pam Tillis is going to be here by the end of the year. John Kunkle will give me the date when that is going to happen.

In the mean time Blood, Sweat, and Tears will be at the auditorium on October 8<sup>th</sup>.

Ticket Crush Concerts brings Francesca Bastelli She was nominated for a Grammy Award and was the Dove Award Female Artist of the Year this last October.

The Ultimate Doo-Woop Show, which is coming November 12<sup>th</sup> has seven well know groups and it will be an outstanding concert. The Marcells have over 200 million records sold.

Finally, Mr. Jones was here last week from Crime Stoppers Watch and I tried to explain to Council why we do not always apply for every grant. Mr. Jones came up to me afterwards and I question whether we have to pay back the money. I told him I would check it out and I will get back to you. He sent an email out to several people and stated the Mayor's statements were only partial truths which were very political, so in my opinion that would totally fit his own opinion of the sleazy politician, not to say he is. He did not give me a chance to respond to his questions, but the police chief is here tonight and if any of you have any questions why he did not apply for certain grants, there are situations that are unique to the applicants and the people we were told received grants. That does not fit our situation. We do not have any laid off firemen or policemen. We have some vacancies that we are dragging our feet on filling to give us a chance to pickup the pay out money. The chief is here and he will be glad to answer any questions you have concerning why he applies for certain grants and not others.

Mr. Vincent: Chief Lambes, I am curious as to the process of looking at grants and how you review them. If you recall, not too long ago we had a Fire Chief that missed out on some grants, that we could have applied for and we did not, for no reason at all. This is fresh in our minds and we do not want to miss out on opportunities for money, because things are so tight, just as you do not want to miss out on any money. We are cautious and just want to make sure we are questioning the right things and that we have every opportunity we can.

Chief Lambes: We review every grant that comes out, to see which ones will fit for us. Obviously this past week we took possession of three cars for the detective bureau that were paid for by grants. We have another grant that we should hear from within a couple of months about another car. We have applied for several grants and most of them we receive. The COPS Grant which Zanesville has applied for in the past and received has a lot of strings to it. Yes, you can hire up to three employees, four employees, and they will pay their salary. We would have to agree to retain those people beyond the grant period. They are going to pay their salary this year, next year, the year after; we have to agree to pay the salary beyond that. So what we are doing is we are committing to the Federal Government that city is going to guarantee we are going to spend money on those employees for years down the road. In good times that is not bad agreement to make if we are going to add officers, but right now the country is in such a state of flux I do not feel comfortable committing the city to an expense after I retire, after the mayor is gone, after some of you are gone. I think it is a dangerous position at this point and it scares me. There are other factors that the grant does not pay. I does not pay the \$1,000 clothing allowance, the equipment that I have to offer and the police academy if I have to send them. This year gas prices are \$1.00 a gallon higher than last year. We are almost 60% into the year and I we have spent 85% of our gas budget. If I have more officers, I put more cars on the road. There are just so many hidden expenses that it is scary to try to commit because of all these dollars we have to throw out there with it. It is just not a good time. At some time when our economy and budgets are picking up, we can get one of these COPS Grants which are offered every year. It would be great to pick up our four officers we are down with the COPS Grant. Then we will apply for that grant because it would be like getting free money at that point. I am scared right now. It is a decision I made and I talked to the Mayor about it and he agreed with me that to commit us to

several hundred thousand dollars that we would have to spend four years from now, it is not a safe decision to make.

Mr. Vincent: So if we got an officer for three years and the fourth year we are in dire straits, is it true we would have to pay back that money to the Federal Government or ...

Chief Lambes: It is possible, yes. In every grant there are stipulations and if you do not adhere to those stipulations the Federal Government could ask for the money back. It has happened. If I fill out a grant application and I am not sure I can fulfill all the obligations, that's being dishonest on my part and to the citizens. We have looked at the grant and evaluated it. We just do not think that 2011 is the year. Maybe next year or the year after. COPS Grants come out every year. When we get ready to fill the four positions that we have been down for a couple of year, COPS might be a good opportunity to get them back on board. We are probably a couple years away from that.

Mr. Vincent: That is good to hear they are available every year. What other grants are there?

Chief Lambes: Two years ago we received a grant for every officer to have a bullet proof vest. The past two years we replaced an entire radio system with a grant. We are getting ready to do some other work. The narrow banding that we have to do by 2013 and we have been assured by the radio company that the costs will be minimal to the city because for the last ten years we have applied for grants and totally reworked our radio system so all the equipment that we have today is transferable and compatible. So grants have put us in a position when this large expense is going to occur in 2013 for everyone in the country, isn't that great for the Zanesville Police Department, thanks to grants. They are out there and we take advantage of them. We try to do that with some intelligence to where it does not create a liability to the city.

Mr. Vincent: What kind of help do you have in looking at grants and weighing them out? Is it that complicated?

Chief Lambes: They have seminars for grants that will tell you where the grants come from. Ohio Association of Chief of Police put some very good Internet information out there that I have access to. We can look at a lot of grants, unfortunately there are not a ton of grants out there anymore, but the COPS Grant is pretty standard, LOPBB is a pretty standard grant, and we look at those every year.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you, Chief Lambes, we appreciate you coming and sharing with us.

Mr. Tilton moved to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Zakany.  
Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

---

VICKI L. FIGGINS  
CLERK OF COUNCIL

---

DANIEL M. VINCENT  
PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL