

## **CITY COUNCIL MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 09, 2015**

The Council of the City of Zanesville met in regular session at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, November 09, 2015 in the City Council Chambers, 401 Market Street, Zanesville, Ohio.

Mr. Vincent led those present in the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The following members of Council answered Roll Call: Mrs. Norman, Mr. Hutcheson, Mr. Roberts, Ms. Gildow, Mr. Foreman, Mr. Sharrer, Mr. Tarbert, and Mr. Vincent. Mr. Baker and Mrs. Gentry were absent.

Mr. Roberts moved to excuse Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker. It was seconded by Mr. Tarbert. There was no discussion and all present were in favor of excusing Mr. Baker and Mrs. Gentry. None were opposed.

Motion carries. They stand excused.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Hutcheson moved to accept the minutes as printed for October 26, 2015, seconded by Mr. Tarbert. There was no discussion. All present were in favor of approving the minutes. None were opposed.

Motion carries. Minutes stand approved.

### **COMMUNICATIONS, REPORTS, AND RESOLUTIONS**

Communication from Mayor Tilton – Board appointment for Zanesville Community Improvement Corporation-Recommend the appointment of Christy Woodburn to fill the unexpired term of Gene McDonald to the Zanesville Community Improvement Corporation Board. Ms. Woodburn's term will end December 31, 2016.

Mr. Sharrer moved to receive and concur, seconded by Mr. Hutcheson.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of receiving and concurring with the Mayor signify by saying aye. All present were in favor. None were opposed.

Motion carries.

Communication from Jay Bennett, Public Service Director – Third Quarterly Report for Zanesville Municipal Airport for 2015.

Mr. Roberts moved to receive, seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of receiving signify by saying aye. All present were in favor. None opposed.

Motion carries.

Communication from Jay Bennett, Public Service Director – City Cemeteries Third Quarterly Report for 2015.

Mr. Hutcheson moved to receive, seconded by Ms. Gildow.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of receiving signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None present were opposed.  
Motion carries.

Resolution No. 15-111 – Introduced by Council - A Resolution in support of City of Zanesville Law Enforcement and Law Enforcement Officers Nationwide.

Mr. Tarbert moved for second reading, seconded by Mr. Roberts.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, I do have a petition from Mr. Eric Jones.

Private Petition by Mr. Eric Jones, 221 Luck Avenue, Zanesville, OH 43701 in favor of this resolution.

Mr. Eric Jones: Hello everybody. My name is Eric Jones and I live somewhere in Zanesville. This is going to sound somewhat disjointed. In a way it is going to be somewhat schizophrenic, but I want everybody to understand that there has been a hiring difference in the Police Department nationwide and not so much with the Zanesville Police Department. I am in support of the police, of course. Every society has a police force. Russia has a police force. China has a police force. But the most tyrannical societies have a police force. The police force represents the status quo. The status quo needs peace. So with that said, you know, again it has been a hard year for police departments. If we have a tyranny of the majority here, the police department will serve that tyranny. Now the thing about nationwide is if you remember a few years back the police department said to the citizens; cameras will not be a problem. The citizens looked at them and said hey, wait a minute. The police said if you are innocent you have nothing to fear from a camera. This year police are saying in effect you do have something to fear from a camera because they don't like to be recorded now. For those of you who are paying attention, alright? Now there is a history between African Americans and the police department. I am not going to get into that right now because as I say I support the police department and especially with Mr. Buck and Lt. Rice of a few meetings back and we had this discussion and I will sort of bring that in in my private petition. As I said we have a history. It is not a great history, but it is a history. Thank you very much.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you, Mr. Jones. Is there anything else from Council? Okay, with that, all in favor of second reading signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None were opposed.  
Motion carries.

## **PROPOSED ORDINANCES**

Ordinance No. 15-126 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to apply for grant funding from the FAA for FY 2016 projects and accept funding, if awarded, and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Roberts moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Mr. Hutcheson.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion on waiving? Hearing none we will have roll call vote for waiving of the readings.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries.

Mr. Vincent: I now need a motion for passage.

Mr. Tarbert moved for passage, seconded by Mrs. Norman.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Mr. Bennett, would you like to give a quick account?

Mr. Bennett: Sure, Mr. President, thank you very much. With the exciting news on Ordinance 15-127 where the Department of Transportation Aviation office has awarded the City \$405,000 we will call it for paving of the cross winds runway. That is a huge burden off the community. Our projects for FY 2016 at the airport would be security fencing and security cameras throughout the complex. An update of security would be a major focus of this next year's application.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you Mr. Bennett. With that it is kind of surprising driving out to the airport that you could actually drive through the parking lot and drive right out onto a runway. It is pretty wide open.

Mr. Bennett: Well there is fencing there. We would like to extend that and kind of get it on the back side of the fencing. Kind of where some of the industrial areas are. That will help secure that as well.

Mr. Vincent: Is there anything else from Council? Okay we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 15-127 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to accept grant funding through the Ohio Division of Aviation, Ohio Department of Transportation, for certain improvements to the Zanesville Municipal Airport, and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Hutcheson moved to waive and it was seconded by Mr. Sharrer.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion on waiving? Okay hearing none we will have roll call vote for waiving of the readings.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries.

Mr. Vincent: I now need a motion for passage.

Mr. Roberts moved for passage, seconded by Mr. Foreman.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

## **ORDINANCES FOR ACTION**

Ordinance No. 15-119 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to provide funds to South East Area Transit for the year 2016.

Mr. Vincent: We are at second reading.

Ms. Gildow moved for second reading, seconded by Mr. Foreman.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of second reading signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None were opposed. Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker were absent. Motion carries.

Ordinance No. 15-123 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance amending Chapter 922 and Chapter 923 of the City of Zanesville's Codified Ordinances, regarding discharges to the sanitary sewer systems.

Mr. Vincent: We are at second reading.

Mr. Hutcheson moved for second reading, seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? With that we do have Jay Bennett and Jerry Ussher and they are here to do a presentation for us as we talked about at our last meeting. While the viewers at home will not be able to see the slides and I talked with Mr. Bennett about that concern, with some technology challenges here. But what will be done is he is going to post the slides on the City website.

Mr. Bennett: Correct Mr. Vincent. We are at second reading tonight so we will make sure the presentation is available to the public who want to understand the stream lining rules for industrial pretreatment. That is something that I will clearly jump on to read all about. We have been eye deep into this legislation for several months and as you will see tonight several years have led us to this point where the EPA promulgated some rule changes to the pretreatment program called streamlining. I am not sure when you look at the breath of the Ordinance that is exactly what that does. But as Mr. Vincent talked to Jerry Ussher, who has joined us tonight, our Wastewater Superintendent and he is joined by Mr. Kevin Allender who is our Environmental Coordinator. Kevin is the employee in direct charge of administering our industrial pretreatment program with our industries and businesses. Kevin interacts with all of them. He is the one who issues or prepares the permits for me to sign and issue. And across the board I have gotten great feedback from our businesses on Kevin's interactions with them. Any time there is an issue they bring it to him and he does a nice job of working with them to resolve it. So it looks like Kevin is operating the gizmo and Jerry is going to talk to the slides.

Jerry Ussher: Members of Council and the Mayor thank you very much for having us this evening. As Mr. Bennett has said I am the Wastewater Superintendent for the plant here in town. We are going to bring to you the streamlining rules changes, proposed changes to the streamlining rules which will require an amendment to our Ordinance by Council.

Why are we discussing this? Last week this was brought up to Council Ordinance 15-123 and there were some questions about it. So we are going to be amending Chapters 922 and 923 of the City's Codified Ordinances. These are also known as Sewer Use Ordinance. So if you hear me talk about those I am referencing both of those Ordinances. So in the beginning, we are going to start from the very beginning where all of this began. In February 2007 the streamlining rules went into effect for the

Wastewater Treatment Plants and the related pretreatment programs. That is what Kevin runs for the City. This was per the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, (OEPA).

So was this handled previously? Yes it was. The city staff examined streamlining rules. This was back in 2007 when they came out. So as of December 8, 2008 the City submitted its first modification to the slug control requirements in the City Ordinances. We felt at that time, well I am sorry, the people who were here at that time felt that was going to handle all of the streamlining rules that the EPA set out for us. Programmatic modification was accepted by the EPA at that time. But wait, upon further review there a call on the field that was overturned. So what happened? The EPA inspected the City's pretreatment program March 6, 2014 and while we were not found to be in violation they did direct us to bring the pretreatment program codes into accord with the streamline rules.

What does this mean? 2014 is a long span from when we actually had them enacted the first time. The City actually has an inspection for the pretreatment program every year. That doesn't mean the EPA is going to pick on everything every year. So it took them every year of their inspections to get to 2014 and they finally realized hey you guys are not up to the codes that we have outlined for you to follow. So that brings us to now.

So what did we do? The City submitted another modification to the Ohio EPA earlier this year and from that proposed language was deemed acceptable by the EPA and we have a letter dated August 25 of this year saying that the EPA has accepted our changes. Those are what you guys have seen in the Ordinance, the changes that we are proposing. So there are two categories to these rule changes. One of them is the required EPA changes. These are what we are forced to do. There is not much we can do; those are the EPA's holding our hands on that one. And then we have optional changes.

What are the options that we have control over as far as what we want to change in the streamline rules? So what are our five required changes? Slug control requirements, we talked about those before. We made further changes to that. Significant Non-Compliance requirements ,so the industries that are way out there, something is really wrong. That is significant non-compliance. Best Management Practices has local limits. We have local limits. We tell industries this is what you can put into our system and it is not going to harm our plant. We are now able to do best management practices or things that they can do in lieu of these local limits. So we have added those in as well. Flow Proportional Sampling requirements. This is an EPA requirement. From where I came from in Springfield we had to fight with this a little bit. This is going to be a little hard. We will have to work with the industries very close on this. Flow Proportional Sampling is basically saying for as much flow as you have when it increases you have to take a larger sample. If the flow decreased that you are discharging to our system you can decrease that amount of sample. That way it is flow proportional for what you are putting out to our system. Many places are not capable of putting that in so we are

going to be working very closely with the industries on that. So we have things about that in there and also signatory requirements. We don't want just any Joe worker signing these reports that are coming to us saying that yes everything is great because then we have no authority over or control over what they are discharging to us. So we have to make sure the proper people are signing those reports.

So these are our changes that we are proposing in order to meet compliance. For slug control requirements language is added. What I have done is I am referring back to the Ordinance or the change 15-123 and these are the specific Ordinance sections that will be affected by that. So 923.16 language was added to include slug control plans in the City issued permits. Beyond that the City is given authority over slug control plans. We need to be able to control what their telling us they are going to do for slug control. In 922.01 slug load is defined. You will find on most of these slides we had to make several changes to the definitions. When you make a change into the Ordinance people have to understand specific terms, so you will see this throughout where we made definition changes.

Significant Non-Compliance we talked about that and what that is. Additional determination when a user may be in significant non-compliance. So what actually defines someone in significant non-compliance? That change was in there. And also we are now a total of fourteen days for submitting reports. An industry came to significant non-compliance by not getting the reports to us on time. This is kind of a problem, minor as it may be. But to allow it to where these industries are not going into significant non-compliance we are allowed to go out fifteen more days to give them forty-five days instead of the thirty originally to get their reports in to us. That is a pretty big thing for these industries. They do not want to show in significant non-compliance. That goes on to the people who are buying their product or who they are making things for and some of them are very strict on that and do not want to see them in non-compliance. So this helps them out some. Once again definitions are added for this.

The Best Management Practices has local limits so 923.12 industries are allowed to use best management practices instead of local limits. I kind of talked about that a little. 923.17 How a Best Management Practice is to be determined is in there. Once again we do have a couple of definitions to help people out with that.

Flow Proportional Sampling is 923.17 was affected by that. Industries are now required to provide Flow Proportional Sampling. We do have some language in there that allows us to work with them on that. Like I said we will have to work with the industries closely on that.

Signatory Requirements industry site managers must sign the compliance reports. As I talked about we just don't want Joe worker signing off on this. We have to make them responsible for what they are signing for, saying they are in compliance.

So what's not required? According to the EPA alternative national categorical standards, non-significant category industry users, mid-tier categorical industry users,

and monitoring waivers. Those we will talk about a little bit. They don't affect us too much with what we are doing with the changes. The biggest one will be general control mechanisms. Just as it sounds it is kind of anything we need to do in order to help us make the Ordinance work for us.

So optional changes the ones I said really don't affect us- grants, sampling waivers; where the CIU demonstrates that regular gluten is neither present nor expected to be present. So in other words if an industry is sitting here saying we do not discharge this. All of what we do we cannot discharge this product. We can work around that now. Instead of making them sample and test for a specific thing that they can never put out in their waste; we don't have to do that.

Clarifies and updates sampling requirements provides flexibility to the City and in certain sampling protocols as I said we will have to be working with the industries on this so we have language in there if they cannot meet that flow proportional we can work with them then.

Optional changes general control mechanisms, as I said this is probably the biggest when you look at 923.14 the changes. This is the biggest section that is a change. The City may issue general control mechanisms to groups that are substantially similar. Wastewater discharge permits are required. Unfortunately right now we do not have a mechanism that says we can actually permit anyone who discharges to our system. We really need that in place because basically if someone comes to us and says well what are you going to do if I don't get a permit. There is not much we can do right now. That we cannot do. We have to have control of who discharges to your system. Otherwise anybody can discharge anything that will harm the plant and we are talking hundreds of thousands to millions of dollars in order to repair the plant. We have to be able to control that and our first thing is a discharge permit for industrial users. We need to have that in place. This is a basic component, so if you have any questions on that I will have questions at the end. But that is a basic component that we really need to have in there and therefore this is the biggest change to the Ordinances. One other smaller one is truck waste discharge location is defined. Right now we don't have that in the Ordinances. All of our haulers that haul septic waste to us do come down to the plant. We have a place to discharge that at the plant. We need to make sure that people are coming to the plant to do that. We don't need people out popping manholes out in the middle of the street and dumping waste. This is a big no, no.

So we have an Ordinance for ratification. The Ohio EPA accepted our draft. Now we are at City Council for ratification in order to satisfy the streamlining rules and our City NPES or Plant Permit for discharge. Once ratified the Ohio EPA issues a thirty day public notice period. This is pretty standard protocol. So even though Council assuming it is approved, once it is approved we still go through another thirty day public notice period. So why should these be ratified? This is an Ohio EPA requirement for the most part. We went through the parts that are not required. But for the most part this is a requirement by the EPA. Several of these changes satisfy the stream lining rules which we must do as stated in our letter from the Ohio EPA. It strengthens the City's ability to

protect the wastewater system and treatment plant. As I said the biggest part of the changes that we can control we need to have permits in place in order to make sure these industries are not dumping some bad stuff on us. So do we have any questions?

Mr. Vincent: Are there any questions from Council?

Ms. Gildow: Jerry, do you have a number of the local industries? Do you know how many local industries this affects, who submit reports?

Jerry Ussher: Jerry asked Kevin Allender if he had the industry numbers.

Kevin Allender: It would affect seven, it would actually affect twenty-two, but there are seven that you would call significant industrial users. They would be affected the most, but we have twenty-two permitted at this time.

Jerry Ussher: So in effect the twenty-two that are permitted that part that allows us to have permits we need that in there for the twenty-two. The bigger changes that are the stream lining requirements are mainly going to affect our others, the more significant users. There are different levels of categorical users. They fit into different categories. That is what he is talking about we have twenty-two permitted, but they can fit into different categories and depending on what they discharge to the system. Say if they discharge metals, they are going to be categorical. That can have a harmful effect on the plant and that is why they fit into a different category. We really need to monitor those.

Mr. Bennett: How many categorical industries do we have?

Mr. Ussher and Mr. Allender: Two, AK Steel and Bilco.

Mr. Bennett: Because of the nature of their discharge?

Ann Gildow: Right.

Mr. Bennett: So, all others, while significant, a few of those are significant, those other five, that are considered significant industry users.

Mr. Vincent: Is there anything else from Council?

Mr. Bennett: We are happy to go over alternative categorical standards and mid-tier categorical standards if you want to. NO?

(Laughter broke out)

Mr. Bennett: I hope that answered Council's questions regarding there are certain things that which as you picked up on two weeks ago, the EPA says the optional changes I

think we have always the ability to work with our industries. So we made sure we took those as offered.

Mr. Vincent: Just a couple questions. I wasn't clear as far as discharge permit required, and I kind of got the impression we didn't have permits, but then you talked about there are twenty-two that have permits.

Mr. Ussher: We have permits in place right now that allow them to discharge, basically tells them what their limits are for discharging. Basically we just don't have the arm to be able to enforce that. That is what is not in place and what we are trying to put in place now.

Mr. Vincent: So permits are in place, but the enforcement or something behind that is what is not in place. This is what this does, puts that in place and give us the ability to do something as far as when someone is not in compliance.

Mr. Ussher: Correct.

Mr. Vincent: Alright, with that, we are at risk of wiping out our wastewater system at least temporarily as far as its ability to process waste and protect the river as far as what is discharged into the river. That is great. It is a delicate balance down there.

Mr. Ussher: Yes.

Mr. Vincent: And then the thirty-day public notice, when that is put out, that is when local industries then could look at this in depth and make comments.

Mr. Ussher: It is pretty much open to public and all industries and everyone. Yes.

Mr. Bennett: It will be advertised in the Zanesville paper.

Mr. Vincent: Okay, good. Is there anything else from Council? Thank you gentlemen we appreciate you both for coming in tonight.

Mr. Ussher and Mr. Allender: Thank you very much. We appreciate it.

Mr. Vincent: We are at second reading. If there is no further discussion all in favor of second reading signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None were opposed.  
Motion carries.

Ordinance No. 15-115 – Introduced by Council - An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to provide funds to the Muskingum Economic Opportunity Action Group.

Mr. Vincent: We are at third reading.

Mr. Roberts: I would like to move to table this ordinance for two weeks. I have been in discussion with the administration about bringing forward something that would give us a little bit more control and a little bit more budgeting over what they are actually doing with the money that we stipend to them.

Mr. Tarbert: I second.

Mr. Vincent: All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None were opposed.

Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker were absent.

Motion carries the ordinance stands tabled.

Ordinance No. 15-116 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to provide amenities for the City Employee’s United Way Fundraiser.

Mr. Vincent: We are at third reading.

Mr. Foreman moved for third reading, seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 15-117 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to provide funds to the Zanesville-Muskingum County Port Authority for organizational, promotional, and operational expenses during the year 2016.

Mr. Vincent: We are at third reading.

Ms. Gildow moved for third reading and passage, seconded by Mr. Hutcheson.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

6 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

1 Abstention        Mr. Tarbert  
Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 15-118 A – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance to adopt Chapter 182 of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Zanesville regarding Municipal Income Tax.

Mr. Vincent: We are at third reading.

Mr. Hutcheson moved for third reading and passage, seconded by Mrs. Norman.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? If no discussion, we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

7 Ayes

0 Nays

2 Absent        Mrs. Gentry and Mr. Baker

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

#### **TRAFFIC ORDERS**

None

#### **MISCELLANEOUS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

None

#### **PRIVATE PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS**

Mr. Eric Jones, 221 Luck Avenue, Zanesville, Ohio speaking on Police comments.

Mr. Jones: Hello again. Okay I said before this was going to be somewhat disjointed and somewhat schizophrenic. Here we go. I think I am the last citizen in Zanesville. That is kind of weird. Everybody else has renounced their citizenship to come here, except me. I want to let you know that I do not consent to being an invitee here. I am a citizen. I was born here and I shall be a citizen as I told Mr. Foreman and we can melt it down and put it in a ball, my citizenship, you could have it when you take it from my dead, cold hands. I am real simple about it. H.L. Mencken said it best, "If you are not willing to give it your last ounce of gore for your liberty, you don't deserve it." I want everybody to know that up front. So now I am going to use, I started thinking about police department action in June. There was a meeting at one of the black organizations and we had two retired police officers and one active duty police officer. And they had mentioned that we only had one black police officer on the police department. That of course has since gone down to zero on the police department. I am not complaining about the why he was put all that, but we are down to zero percent. Now as I was thinking about it, I was not going to do this because I was going to stick with tyranny of the majority for the duration, until I put it on the ballot and we find out the community is

going to go with it or not. So this will be quick. I will use the police department and the fire department as the control mechanism. I like that term. It must have stuck. Because they are quantifiable; you know how many African Americans are there, how many women are there, you can look over to it and say guess what. Go back through the years and you can write it all the way through if somebody wants to do that with the numbers. You can find out that Mr. Bennett has five year plan to see if we are going to increase the number of black people in the police department or the number of women on the police department. Again it is quantifiable. It could be part of the plan. My statement is real quick. The community wants an all-white, all male police department and fire department. Everybody here is going to say no, that is not true. Everybody! Nobody here believes they are a racist and nobody here believes they are a sexist. Eric is a racist. Eric is a sexist. You have to go through it, to get through, that is how you do it. Nobody else wants to believe that. But everybody up there does that. So and how do I know? Because I don't listen to your words, I watch your actions. People say I put words in their mouth, but actually what I do is put labels on your actions or inactions. We will continue this discussion later. Thank you very much.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you Mr. Jones. With that, Chief Miller is there anything you can add to address those concerns?

Chief Kenneth Miller: I thank Mr. Jones for bring that up. We did recently did give a civil service test for the police department. I know the civil service sent out the postings to twenty-two different individuals and organizations that had stepped forward and said they would help us in recruiting minorities and such. We also sent it out to eight colleges in seven counties. If they do not apply, I can't hire them. I will be the first one to admit we need diversity in the police department, but I can't get them if they don't apply. So if someone has the answer to get qualified applicants I am glad to accept them.

Mr. Vincent: So we had no minorities apply?

Chief Miller: We had four apply. We had two females, a black, and an Asian. We had three of them show up for the test and two of them passed. The two females passed. So we are moving forward from that. We had thirty-six applicants and between the testing we are down to sixteen before we even start backgrounds. So I imagine we will be giving another test within the year.

Mr. Vincent: So with that who are still in, the females?

Chief Miller: Yes.

Mr. Vincent: Okay thank you. Is there anything else from Council? With that, I do want to mention I did have a petition sent in on the old form. I would assume, intentionally, because the new form has been provided. Mr. Carl Robinson wanted to speak on 15-44. Like I said it is on the wrong form which is a violation of our new rules here at Council and he has been provided with the correct form, and I believe he understands because he was here with the meetings as far as when we discussed all of these things.

So, I assume it is here just to be on the record. So I am sharing this, to be on the record with Council, that I do have it if anyone would like to see this, but it is on the old form. That is all I have on that. I don't think he is even here tonight. So Council can't make a motion to allow him to speak, because he is not here. Okay if there is nothing else?

Mr. Hutcheson moved to adjourn and it was seconded by Mr. Tarbert.

Mr. Vincent: All in favor of adjournment signify by saying aye.

All present were in favor. None present were opposed.  
Motion carries.

Mr. Vincent: We stand adjourned. Thank you everyone and have a good night.  
The meeting adjourned about 7:35 p.m.