

PUBLIC HEARING ON RENAMING OF AUTUMN DRIVE

At 6:35 p.m. a Public Hearing for the renaming of Autumn Drive to Harrington Drive as requested by Greystone Health Network was opened by Council President Dan Vincent. It was turned over to Mr. Bennett to present information. The new regional manager for Greystone requested the renaming of Autumn Drive as it was named specifically for the old property and they submitted a letter requesting the name change.

Mr. Wolfe spoke on the matter as he was in attendance at the Public Service Committee meeting. It was just a matter of looking at the map as it seemed to be an extension of an existing road and the fact that the current portion of Harrington Drive is a private road and if the portion, currently Autumn Drive is a public road, the committee felt that could be addressed with the proper notation on the file. The committee had a consensus that we didn't want one more name on the street. It has been, therefore, requested to rename Autumn Drive to Harrington Drive as it would continue from an existing road.

Mr. Foreman and Mrs. Osborn had both met with representatives of Greystone Health Network and they were both in the mindset that this is something that will reflect more positively on their business. That name has had an impact on residents and staff populations and they think the name change will help greatly. It has been difficult to convince people; the facility is under different management from the former business. It is completely different.

It was asked if anyone was present to speak in favor. No one responded. No one responded when asked for anyone present to speak against the request. Council did not have any more comments. The hearing was closed at approximately 6:40 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING ON REQUEST BY THE AMERICAN LEGION TO VACATE A 38 FOOT PORTION OF BEECH ALLEY LOCATED BETWEEN 3RD STREET AND 2ND STREET IN THE CITY OF ZANESVILLE.

At 6:45 p.m. a second Public Hearing was called to order by Council President Dan Vincent. The hearing was on the request of the American Legion to vacate a 38' portion of Beech Alley, located north of Damon Alley between 3rd Street and 2nd Street. Mr. Pat Denbow was present to speak on this matter.

Pat Denbow said the alley is located at the rear of the American Legion building on 3rd Street. This portion would leave open a 16.5' alley, which is called Damon Alley, which runs east and west and would leave Beech Alley open so the Southeast Area Transit (SEAT) could still have full access to their property from Beech Alley and not affect their normal traffic flow. Mr. Denbow read the various criteria that were considered by the Planning Commission. Staff comments were conversations with the applicant regarding the possibility of applying for barricade permits during events rather than vacating the alley. Ultimately this partial alley vacation request does not seem to have any negative impact on the neighboring properties. The Planning Commission voted with a 4 to 1 decision to recommend the partial alley vacation to City Council.

Mr. Vincent thanked Mr. Denbow for the information. Mr. Vincent then explained his thoughts on the matter with traffic flow and safety items to be considered. He has been down to the site and can understand and appreciate the concerns for safety. If you step out that back door, you are in the alley. Mr. Vincent wants to do this the right way and he is wondering if there is some other way to accomplish the same goal for safety, such as closing a portion of the alley like we did at Secret Auditorium.

Mayor Tilton said if it is the pleasure of Council to do so; it would just take a traffic order. We would say we are closing the alley between two specific points and put barricades in place. And if a change was needed in the future, Council could rescind.

Mr. Vincent said that in order to reverse the vacation of this portion, if approved, would possibly require eminent domain, which is a big deal, costs, and hassles.

Mr. Vincent said Mr. Terry Tysinger, as the representative for the American Legion, is agreeable to whatever could be worked out to meet the safety needs. Their goal is truly to make it safer for people coming and going. Are there any other thoughts or comments from Council? Law Director, do you have anything?

The Law Director clarified that only a portion of the alley was requested to be vacated.

Mrs. Osborn questioned the possibility of keeping people from driving around the area to be closed.

Mr. Denbow explained the traffic flow and how SEAT utilizes the adjoining property.

Mr. Bennett expressed some concerns from the stand point that SEAT stages its buses; plus Greyhound in that abutting alley which would be the western alley off of SEAT. So now you have two-way traffic trying to avoid the restriction in Beech Alley.

Mr. Vincent: So there are some other concerns then.

Mr. Bennett: That was our concern because we were vacating the City's interest when there are still other items to figure out. So I think talking to the Mayor about a traffic order is something that could provide them some safety immediately without vacating the public's interest until we can do some analysis on what is serving SEAT; like which way do people need to travel. You also have folks who are unable to park visiting Nestle that avail themselves of the SEAT and the Legion parking lots, trying to visit Nestle across the street. Mr. Bennett thinks there are some traffic patterns that we need to understand before we would say it is in the public's best interest to vacate at this time when there is another mechanism that might help them.

Mr. Vincent: A traffic order would allow that? We could actually see first-hand without taking all of these steps on stuff that is so permanent. Is there anything else from Council?

Mr. Tarbert: Pat, to override this require a super majority, so you all know to do a traffic order you would have to vote this down with a super majority of Council.

Mr. Tysinger, 1997 Leslie Drive, Zanesville, OH said he thinks everything is correct. The reason is for safety, but on the other hand, we have a representative present from SEAT too. If there is any objection, he would certainly speak up now, but we are not closing the whole thing. The American Legion tore down all of those building back in there which were the Roekel Company's and made a nice looking asset to our community. Now we have had two or three people have close calls, coming out the back door and not paying attention, and were almost hit by cars in the alley. The real reason is safety. We appreciate whatever you come up with to help us.

No additional individuals were present to speak in favor or against this request. The hearing was closed about 6:57 p.m.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING – MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2016

The Council of the City of Zanesville met in regular session at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, September 26, 2016 in the City Council Chambers, 401 Market Street, Zanesville, Ohio.

Mr. Vincent led those present in the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The following members of Council answered Roll Call: Mrs. Gentry, Mrs. Norman, Miss Bradshaw, Ms. Gildow, Mrs. Osborn, Mr. Wolfe, Mr. Foreman, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Baker, and Mr. Vincent.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Roberts moved to accept the minutes of September 12, 2016 as written, seconded by Mrs. Osborn. A voice vote was taken with all in favor. None were opposed.

Motion carries. The minutes stand approved.

COMMUNICATIONS, REPORTS, AND RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No. 16-100 Introduced by Council – A Resolution in support of the Zanesville-Muskingum County Health Department's efforts addressing the use of tobacco in our community, as herein provided.

Ms. Gildow moved for first reading, seconded by Mr. Baker.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Mr. Baker, do you want to add anything to this, sir?

Mr. Baker: This was looked at by the Community Development Committee and received unanimous approval. It is a great idea.

Mr. Gildow: Could we ask for just one sentence to tell us what the specific efforts would be?

Mr. Bennett introduced Mrs. Kirsch of the Zanesville-Muskingum County Health Department and asked her to come up to address the Council. Then when is the application to be submitted?

Ms. Kirsch: It has been submitted.

Mr. Bennett: So this would go in after the fact.

Ms. Kirsch: Yes.

Mr. Vincent: Ms. Kirsch speaking from the Health Department as an expert on the subject as far as testimony for Council so there will not be a time limit for her.

Ms. Kirsch: I won't take too long. There was actually two key parts of the grant. The grant was submitted already, but we always work with community partners and it has been really great to reach out to the City this year. The two parts we are working with are students on what is called Stand Teens, Stand Up Against Tobacco basically. They are working to help with media, and to work with their schools to help them go smoke free on the whole campuses. The two school districts we picked are West Muskingum and Zanesville City Schools. That is why it is nice to have this support as well. The other piece is working with landlords and some other agencies to make their whole areas smoke free as well, because second hand smoke can be a lot of problems for people with asthma and those kinds of things as well. So we will be working with Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority, landlords, and with the Muskingum Valley Park District. They have also signed on to this. That is it in a nut shell.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you. Is there anything else from Council? All in favor of first reading signify by saying aye. All were in favor. None were opposed.
Motion carries.

PROPOSED ORDINANCES

Mr. Roberts proposed a motion to insert Ordinance 16-105 as item 5A to the agenda. Mr. Wolfe seconded the motion.

A voice vote was taken with all in favor. None were opposed. The motion carries.

Ordinance No. 16-101 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to advertise for bids, and enter into a contract with the lowest and best bidder

for the construction of the Maysville Avenue Storm Sewer Project, and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Roberts moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Mr. Baker.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion on waiving? Hearing none, we will have roll call vote for waiving of the readings.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries.

Mrs. Norman moved for passage, seconded by Miss Bradshaw.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? Mr. Bennett is there anything you would like to add to this. The concern is it is under the McDonald's Drive thru or close to it. We have had some issues and concerns for a while.

Mr. Bennett: I passed out on Council's desks today the schematic drawing for what was determined to be alternative 2A and 2B. Can you see the two red lines? The green line meaning the existing 24" storm sewer system that is there, that is failing. Blockage, we recognized in 2015 and we began working on alternatives. The City does have an easement for this 24" line, but it is very narrow. It becomes troublesome to reconstruct a 24" line that is eleven feet down on a ten foot easement. Part of this process is to start looking at some alternatives on alignment. Let's get away from their drive thru. That is certainly preferred for them and us. So we are still working with them to secure the easement. We have gone ahead and had the plan set drawn. We have had several meetings with McDonald's representatives and determined that almost a hybrid between the two red lines is ultimately where we would like to build. They would like us to build to kind of move away from their flow of traffic and parking areas. That will be roughly \$180,000. We do have that funding within the storm sewer construction project. We received a call last week. I went out and could see some slippage starting on that hillside. We are in good timing to move forward with this project.

Mr. Vincent: Mr. Bennett, I know we have had trouble in the past and Alfred Street flooding, what will this mean?

Mr. Bennett: You have Grove Avenue; yes that entire Maysville area over on the west side of Maysville. It flows through this way and then ultimately daylight out on the hillside east of the McDonalds into a drainage ditch that is significantly lower.

Mr. Vincent: If this were to fail we would, potentially, with a heavy storm flood many blocks.

Mr. Bennett: Absolutely, we would have no ability outside of going out and collecting out in Maysville Avenue and obstructing traffic by pumping it to another location and getting around. Let alone what it would do to the property at McDonalds.

Mr. Vincent: By redoing this is it the same size 24" line or is it increasing?

Mr. Bennett: No, it is still 24".

Mr. Vincent: Is that enough capacity?

Mr. Bennett: It is enough capacity.

Mr. Vincent: I think some of the problem before was blockage in the pipe. I know that was before your time.

Mr. Bennett: It runs flat through some of that area over in Alfred. I think they have done some work previously to make sure there could be some drainage going the other way over to Pine Street as well into that drainage ditch. I think that was previously taken care of.

Mr. Vincent: You are confident this will handle the needs?

Mr. Bennett: Certainly, the need is there to replace the existing facility to keep a business open and moving to make sure our areas that depend upon that so we can convey it through their property.

Mr. Vincent: Is there anything else from Council?

Mayor Tilton: If you remember 2004 or 2005, I think, was when we had that problem down on Alfred Street. We knew at that time that the pipe was getting bad. Over the years it has just gotten worse so it now is to the point where we definitely need to do something about it.

Mr. Vincent: Twelve more years out of it then. None of this is attributed to construction on top of that?

Mr. Bennett said he does not know the answer to that. We certainly went through in 2015 by televising work and were unable to go any further up that line or coming back the other way. So it is collapsed in that area. As to what happened, I don't know.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 16-102 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance amending Appropriation Ordinance No. 16-24A and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Roberts moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Ms. Gildow.

Ms. Gildow: Just to mention this was addressed at the Ways & Means Committee meeting and Ms. Heskett was there and answered any questions we had. She explained each specific of the appropriation changes.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries.

Mrs. Norman moved for passage, seconded by Mr. Wolfe.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 16-103 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance renaming Autumn Drive within the City of Zanesville, Ohio, to “Harrington Drive” and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Foreman moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Mr. Baker.

Mr. Roberts: This was brought before the Public Service Committee meeting and it was recommended to Council to move this through with emergency.

Mr. Foreman: I would just like to point out that Mrs. Osborn and I met, once again, I will restate that this is a company that really wants to move forward and by doing this as quickly as possible to benefit them in the quickest way. I think that is very important. So I urge us to waive these readings and pass it.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries.

Mr. Foreman moved for passage, seconded by Mrs. Osborn.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 16-104 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance to vacate a 38' portion of Beech Alley North of Damon Alley, located in the block between 3rd St. and 2nd St. in the City of Zanesville, Ohio as herein provided.

Mr. Roberts moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Mr. Baker.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

6 Ayes Ms. Gildow, Mrs. Osborn, Mr. Wolfe, Mr. Foreman, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Baker
3 Nays Mrs. Gentry, Mrs. Norman, and Miss Bradshaw

Motion defeated.

Miss Bradshaw moved for first reading, seconded by Mrs. Norman.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion? With that we had a public hearing prior to this and the intent of the American Legion is looking to create a safety area. They have members or clients that come out of the back of the building and step right into the alley. There are some concerns about safety. They have had some people almost hit several times. The motive for this is to create an area of safety, which I can understand and I am supportive of that. The discussion at the public hearing on this, and they don't care which method, as far as how it gets there, as long as they are able to create something for safety. The discussion was at the public hearing about just closing the alley which could be done with a traffic order. The Mayor shared that with us, as what we did up at Secrest. So with a traffic order we could close that alley and create that area of safety for them. They could put barriers up and that would give us a chance to see how this works. There were some concerns as far as closing it off. With this legislation it would be permanent. To reverse it in the future would be very difficult as far as requiring eminent domain or cooperation by the parties who own the property. So I see this as what was discussed pertain to closing the alley as an easy way to reach the goal for safety and not need this legislation. Does anyone want to add to that or clarify? Mr. Tarbert, is there anything you would like to add to that?

Mr. Tarbert: I think the option was, as I understood it, was not to close the whole alley, but to do a traffic order closing that portion that is being asked to be vacated. It is not the whole alley. The talk was it takes a super majority to override what was done here and then the less drastic option would be to do a traffic order just closing that section.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you for clarifying. Yes, just closing that 38 foot area for safety.

Mr. Roberts: It was my intent to do just that to turn this legislation down and turn it back to Mr. Buck to bring forward a traffic order so we could shut the alley.

Mr. Vincent: So that was your intent as far as waiving to defeat it tonight. Okay.

Mr. Roberts: Yes, because it is at first reading and this would push it through instead of taking it all the way.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any further discussion? It is at first reading. So it will come back for future readings then and could still be passed or defeated based on Council's pleasure. Is there any further discussion? If there is nothing else, we are at first reading so all in favor of first reading signify by saying aye.

A voice vote was called with the responses uncertain so a roll call vote was requested.

Mrs. Osborn: Can you explain.

Mr. Vincent: This is for first reading. It is going to come back anyway, but by tradition we vote so we know where people stand. It could be looked at as, it doesn't mean anything, but that has been our history.

Mr. Wolfe: We are not voting on the legislation. We are just reading it in to the record when we say aye.

Mr. Vincent: Basically, yes. Some places do not vote on first and second readings, but as long as I remember a history on voting on first and second readings and it helps to figure out where Council people stand to get things figured out as far as best for the citizens. So it doesn't matter how you vote on first or second reading, yea or nay. It doesn't matter other than stating your position at this time and what is going on. So if everyone voted yea it comes back; if everyone votes nay it still comes back for second reading. Is everyone clear on that? Do you understand? Tonight's vote doesn't count for anything. Is everyone clear?

Roll call vote for first reading.

1 Ayes Ms. Gildow
8 Nays Mrs. Gentry, Mrs. Norman, Miss Bradshaw, Mrs. Osborn, Mr. Wolfe, Mr. Foreman, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Baker.

Motion is defeated. It still comes back for second reading as I explained. If you have any further questions, please see me after the meeting and we can discuss it more or you can ask them now. If Council votes to waive it the next time and defeat it that is fine or we can go through all of the readings.

Ordinance No. 16-105 - Introduced by Council – An Ordinance Amending Ordinance 16-92 and authorizing the Safety Director to enter into a contract for the replacement of the HVAC System in the City Jail and declaring an emergency.

Mr. Roberts moved to waive the readings and it was seconded by Ms. Gildow.

Mr. Vincent: Is there any discussion on waiving? Mr. Buck did present at our Ways & Means Committee meeting explaining the urgency of this as far as the heating and air conditioning system at the jail. We have a timeframe here, between the seasons, when it is not too hot and hopefully not too cold. He is confident that if this moves along we

can get things in place and provide heat to the second floor as far as the reason for waiving. Does anyone want to add to that? We will have roll call vote for waiving.

Roll call vote on waiving of the readings.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries.

Mrs. Norman moved for passage, seconded by Miss Bradshaw.

Mr. Vincent: Mr. Buck, do you want to add anything here? I know the bids came in higher than expected.

Mr. Buck: The bids did come in higher than what we thought they would be and for what we actually got from the engineer, but we don't know why yet. I received an e-mail I was reading before this meeting. He is going to try to explain a couple of things that did happen, but I think most of the problem was the contractors weren't hungry enough. They bid a little bit high. They probably are all busy right now at this time of the year. Our problem is we need it done; we need it done now. It is our jail. We have been able to limp through the summer with temporary air conditioning on the second floor, but we would have no heat whatsoever on the second floor so we would have to do some type of temporary heating. Which I do know is we could make something work; but it would be a lot of extra cost for the City and since we need to do this job anyway.

Mr. Vincent: The increased cost is how much over what it was initially?

Mr. Buck: \$119,700, actually.

Mr. Vincent: Over what was expected?

Mr. Buck: Yes.

Mr. Vincent: This legislation was approved before and it exceeded that, so that is why Council needs to authorize, or defeat, the amendment to the previous Ordinance. We had two bidders and the second bidder was \$25,000 higher. Is that correct, Mr. Buck?

Mr. Buck: Yes. \$24,000 to \$25,000.

Mr. Vincent: It was unfortunate. Is there anything else? With that, we will have roll call vote for passage.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

ORDINANCES FOR ACTION

Ordinance No. 16-93 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing and directing appropriation of properties necessary for the purpose of making, constructing, and utilizing a water tower and necessary lines, constituting a “Public Use” under R.C. §163.01(H)(2) and further authorized by R.C. §719.01(M).

Mr. Foreman moved for second reading, seconded by Mr. Wolfe.

All were in favor. None were opposed.
Motion carries.

Ordinance No. 16-95 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to apply for grant funding from the FAA for FY 2017 projects and accept funding, if awarded.

Mrs. Osborn moved for second reading, seconded by Mr. Baker.

All were in favor. None were opposed.
Motion carries.

Ordinance No. 16-97 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance allowing a moral claim.

Ms. Gildow moved for second reading, seconded by Miss Bradshaw.

Mr. Foreman asked for it to be noted that he abstains.

Mr. Roberts said we haven't received any of the additional information that we requested from the last meeting. We are still waiting.

Mr. Vincent: Is there anything else from Council?

Mr. Wolfe: We will have one more subcommittee meeting before then, I believe, right?

Mr. Roberts: We will have Public Service Committee that same evening, prior to the Council meeting and hopefully we will have something from the applicant at that time.

All were in favor. None were opposed.
Motion carries.

Ordinance No. 16-90 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance by the City of Zanesville City Council imposing a moratorium of one year on the issuance and processing of any permits allowing retail dispensaries, cultivators, or processors of medical marijuana within the City of Zanesville.

Mr. Baker moved for third reading, seconded by Miss Bradshaw.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 16-91 – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the use of Ohiocheckbook.com in partnership with the Ohio Treasurer to advance open and transparent government.

Mrs. Osborn moved for third reading and passage, seconded by Mr. Foreman.

Mr. Roberts moved to amend this Ordinance by removing Section Two and then renaming Section Three as Section Two. It was seconded by Ms. Gildow.

Ms. Gildow: Just a notation that this went to Ways & Means and a representative from Josh Mandel's office was there to make a presentation and to answer our questions so that we were more informed about the process.

Mr. Vincent: And supportive of this amendment then in that meeting?

Mr. Gildow: Absolutely.

Mr. Foreman: I would like to add that Mr. Risko was the gentleman who came and presented. It was the first time that we are aware that anyone came down and really presented on this. It was very informative and we feel like it is going to be a very good thing for our City moving forward. We are glad to see it get started now.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you, Mr. Foreman. We have an amendment and a second on it. We need to vote on it. That amendment is to delete Section Two and rename Section Three as Section Two. Is everyone clear on that? All in favor of that amendment signify by saying aye.

A voice vote was taken on the amendment with all in favor. None were opposed.

Motion carries.

Mr. Roberts moved for passage of Ordinance 16-91 as amended. It was seconded by Mr. Foreman.

Roll call vote for passage.

9 Ayes

0 Nays

Motion carries. Ordinance is passed.

Ordinance No. 16-75 Amended – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to advertise for bids for Management Services for Gant Park Municipal Stadium Complex.

Mr. Roberts moved for third reading, seconded by Mr. Baker.

Mr. Roberts moved to postpone this until the first Council meeting in October. It was seconded by Ms. Gildow.

Mr. Vincent: All in favor of postponing until the first Council meeting in October signify by saying aye.

A voice vote was taken with all in favor. None were opposed. Mr. Wolfe abstained on all votes pertaining to this Ordinance.

Motion carries. This will come back to Council at the first meeting in October.

TRAFFIC ORDERS

None

MISCELLANEOUS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Mayor Tilton said over the weekend the Zanesville Municipal Airport had a fly in and a car show. There were over 4,000 attendees. There were over 140 people participating in the bi-plane rides and they had ten WWII B17 flights, so it was well attended. They are excited and would like to have it again next year.

On October 5, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. at the John McIntire Library we have the Ohio Mid-Eastern Ohio Government Association (OMEGA) coming in. They have compiled a list of transportation projects within our community and region and they would like to discuss it with the members of our community. This is for the years 2018 to 2021.

PRIVATE PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Non-agenda item petitions filed

Mr. Eric Jones, 221 Luck Avenue, Zanesville, OH 43701 speaking on
Mental Picture=MMM's D.I.G.ging I=Right to Information

Mr. Eric Jones: I didn't mean to make it that difficult for you. I have been saying this trying to get you to see the picture. Three large M's, the letter M's, holding shovels in their hands digging a hole. Inside the hole is a treasure chest; inside the treasure chest is Republican Democracy. I gave you what the M's stood for. It was the right of discussion, the right of information, and good faith. I am on the "I" right of information. Now I have been here before and I have tried to get information. It is kind of difficult, because we are operating off of the Ohio Revised Code. Because we are operating off

of the Ohio Revised Code, to get information at a meeting, I asked Mr. Vincent and technically he is not permitted to give it to me because he is not a lawyer. It is just the way it is set up, folks. That is why the state wanted you guys to use Roberts Rules of Order. Anybody can use Roberts Rules of Order. Again, this is just the way it is. Now just for the safety for an example I want to get information about the Police Department. I come down here and I say the Police Department is a racist organization. The reason it is a racist organization is because there are no blacks on it. That is an easy enough statement. Some of you are going to look at me and say that is not how it works. There are other things involved. At the end of the day, if there are no blacks folks, the easy explanation is it is racism. Now, I would think the way it is set up, if Mrs. Norman would have come down here and she would have voiced that; because the way things are like silence shall be construed as consent. If you don't publicly say I am opposed to something; you are for it. Nothing fancy here. Again silence shall be construed as consent. If I look at Mr. Buck and I say Mr. Buck, this is kind of a sexist organization. How do I know? No women. Well, I think you have one.

Mr. Buck: We have two.

Mr. Jones: Good. We have half of the population being women and I assume two doesn't get there, out of 50. Let's say that is 4%. Again, there are five women up there; a majority on Council, historic. So if five women up there are saying in their silence that they agree with the Zanesville Police Department not having women on the department. I don't think you really want to do that. Thank you, I am running out of time. Thank you.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you, Mr. Jones. With that, I do see we have County Commissioner Cindy Cameron here this evening. Thank you for coming and joining us. Hopefully there are no special needs from you?

Mrs. Cameron: No. I am just visiting.

Mr. Vincent: Okay, that is nice. We should all come to visit you sometime.

Mrs. Cameron: Unless there is something you want to bring up with me.

Mr. Vincent: We will talk after the meeting. Is there anything else from the Administration or Council?

Mr. Baker: Just a quick note, the Community Development Committee met about a week ago and we took a look at the City's proposed Comprehensive Plan, the final working draft of the plan. The idea is it is now linked on the COZ website and we invite the public to take a look at the plan. Contact me or any member of the committee, Mr. Bennett, the Mayor, with any revisions that they might feel should be at least examined to go into the plan. Any additions, subtractions, modifications, and the idea is that at the next Community Development Committee meeting, scheduled for next month, we will be taking a look at those and either revise the Comprehensive Plan or send it on to Council as an Ordinance for acceptance or denial.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you, Mr. Baker. I know a significant amount of work has gone into this. Many people have volunteered. Many people at the City of Zanesville, Mr. Bennett and the staff, it is an exceptional piece of work. We appreciate all of the input that has been allowed and the opportunities. I know you want to get it right. That is very much appreciated. So the citizens can again check in on it and if they have any comments please get with us; to let us know so we get it right. It is well on its way to excellence. Is there anything else from Council?

Mr. Roberts moved to adjourn. It was seconded by Mrs. Osborn. A voice vote was taken with all in favor. None were opposed. Motion carries.

Mr. Vincent: The meeting stands adjourned. Thank you everyone and have a good night.

The meeting adjourned about 7:40 p.m.