

THE CITY OF
Zanesville



401 Market Street • Zanesville, Ohio 43701
Phone (740) 617-4875
E-mail: council@coz.org

Council – Mayor Government
Doug Merry – Public Safety Director

**ZANESVILLE CITY COUNCIL
SPECIAL PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AGENDA**
Council Chambers, 401 Market Street, Zanesville OH
July 17, 2023 at 5:00 p.m.

This meeting is for discussion pertaining to the upcoming Ordinances, Resolutions, and discussion items of the Public Safety Committee for review as follows. This meeting is open to the public to attend in person or remotely by using the information below.

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes of June 26, 2023.

ORDINANCES

1. **Ordinance 2023-67** – Introduced by Council – An Ordinance authorizing the proper city official to execute all documents associated with the purchase an emergency medical services vehicle and declaring an emergency.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

This meeting is open to the public who may attend in person or attend by phone or Internet using the information below.

Phone **1-844-621-3956** US Toll Free or **1-415-655-0001** US Toll
Use Access Code: **126 750 8098 #**

Online with WebEx- Enter **zanesvillecity.webex.com** in web browser. Enter Meeting Information- **126 750 8098**

SPECIAL PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING – MONDAY, JUNE 26, 2023

The Public Safety Committee met at 5:00 p.m. on Monday, June 26, 2023 in Council Chambers at City Hall, 401 Market Street, Zanesville, Ohio.

Mr. Roberts: It is 6:00, and I'm going to call this meeting of the Public Safety Committee to order. Can I have roll call?

Committee members attending were Councilperson Andy Roberts, Chair; Councilperson Steven Foreman; Councilperson Joey Osborn; and Councilperson Mark Ballmer.

Others in attendance:

Billie Corns, Clerk of Council
Kade Haddox, Budget & Finance
Don Mason, Mayor
Doug Merry, Public Safety Director

Cody Pettit, Councilperson
Matt Schley, Community Development Director
Dan Vincent, President of Council

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MAY 22, 2023

Mr. Roberts: I'll entertain a motion on the minutes from May 22, 2023.

Mrs. Osborn: Move to approve.

Mr. Foreman: Second.

Mr. Roberts: A motion and a second. Any discussion? All those in favor, aye. Opposed same sign.

A voice vote was taken with all present in favor. None were opposed.

Mr. Roberts: Ordinances, we have none.

DISCUSSION ITEM:

600 Block of Main Street Discussion

Mr. Roberts: Discussion item one is the 600 block of Main Street. Nate Embrey is on the Mondo board with us. Mr. Schley, is there anything you want to update the committee with before we turn it loose on Nate?

Matt Schley: I had just recently heard from Nate on Thursday regarding to updates. I think it would be best if he shared them.

Mr. Roberts: Sounds good. Nate, are you there?

There was no answer from Nate. At this time IT attempted to remedy the audio problem.

Lisa Hittle: He says he doesn't have sound yet.

Mr. Roberts: Okay. Can you figure that out, Lisa? We're going to bounce around then. Computer aided dispatch system – bid results. Kade, are you going to handle that or Chief?

Kade Haddox: I can start, I guess. Obviously, Council is aware that we originally rejected all bids and rebid. The results came in very similar to last time, with the addition of one company. I believe that another company chose not to bid again on the second round. I guess I can go through the results:

- CentralSquare had a 5-year bid of \$676,000 and some change.
- Motorola \$734,000 and change.
- Sundance \$205,000 and change.
- Tyler had four bids. I will only read the ones that don't include financing because two of the bids were just spreading financing over 5 years.
 - The first option, which would be a standalone system, came in at a total of \$676,000.
 - The second option, which is the cheaper option, a piggybacking with the county and sharing resources, \$458,320.

I won't get into the IT specifics. Lisa can touch on that. She's here if you have any questions about operational issues and recommendation, those type of things. But as far as the money goes, that's where everything fell.

Mr. Roberts: Anybody else have anything to add? And then Kade, help me out. On that ordinance that we just leave it to lowest or was it an action of Council?

Kade Haddox: The ordinance actually authorized the Mayor and only the Mayor to enter into a contract with the lowest and best bidder.

Mr. Roberts: Okay.

Mayor Mason: What we're looking at, Mr. Chairman, and again, we haven't made a decision yet. We're trying to maximize what provides the highest level of public safety within the region within the county. Obviously looking at which one allows for more technology applications, and then after that we're looking at the price. The price is important, of course.

Mr. Roberts: Okay. Any questions from the committee? Okay. Did we figure Nate out yet?

Lisa Hittle: Nate, can you hear us now?

Kade Haddox: Chief, I don't know if you wanted to speak on the computer system at all?

Chief Comstock: Yes, I mean I had some information. My only input on this would be, there's a handful of vendors on the table. We, about a year ago, put a committee together to help determine who was going to be the best system for the department. It consisted of units from Patrol, Dispatch, the Jail, Fire Department, IT, and Records. Each one of those has a piece of this operating system that is used daily. So, at one point, I had 102 members of the agency. Now I'm operating with around 80. But at full staffing, we have 100 people, plus the Fire Department, that rely on this operating system their entire duty day every single day. So it was key that we pick the right one.

About a year ago we met with all 5 vendors to full 1-day demos. At the conclusion of that, we met and compared notes, and the CentralSquare Company was who we looked at. Tyler is within a few hundred dollars of an outright purchase. To me, it's so close that it wouldn't even be an issue. And Motorola was considerably higher, high enough that they didn't meet the ordinance requirement.

Now the thing is with Tyler is we have the abilities since the county already uses it, to piggyback off of them. My caution on that would be for a department with a budget the size that we have and the number of personnel we have serving the number of citizens we have, I think we're too large to become so dependent on another agency. This isn't through any political or job security fear or anything like that. I work great with the Sheriff's Office. I don't think they'd leave us hanging. It's just an independence issue. And if you look at the independence in buying it outright, whether it's on the hardware side of it behind the scenes with IT, servers, things like that, Tyler and CentralSquare are so close that I feel there was a lot of work that was done at the Police Department over the last year that would have really been for nothing given the selection with CentralSquare. I do not argue the savings of \$218,000 and the initial start-up if you piggyback off of the county with Tyler. In addition, there's going to be an annual savings that is going to just multiply throughout the years.

We're currently with CMI. That's the vendor that we use. We've been with them for over 20 years. Doug Merry, as a captain, brought that into the department. They didn't only focus on Public Safety, and they are eliminating their customer base and customers in Public Safety by putting their focuses somewhere else. And we are in a need to replace them.

CentralSquare is Public Safety only focused and originated with it. And then another key piece of this was every product that a vendor was going to be able to bring to the table. Now, Lisa can add on this, but I was under the impression, and it was explained to me that and the way we logged it was the automated vehicle locating, which is our mapping. And I know that was a big key piece that I noticed that it looks like everyone had failed to meet, and there was an additional purchase. We have that already. AccuGlobe is our vendor. I do not know off the top of my head what our annual subscription price is.

Mayor Mason: Do you know what that is, Lisa, for AccuGlobe?

Lisa Hittle: I do not know off the top of my head.

Chief Comstock: I was thinking 15 to 19. I know we were down to two licenses because we only have it in 10 and 12, where we had it in every cruiser originally. There's got to be significant savings there. There is some value in piggybacking off of another agency that's in your jurisdiction. I think for information and

communication, just seeing each other's calls. There's a lot of things. The primary piece of this is the computer aided dispatching which is what our dispatchers use to get the information in, create the call, and send it out, which is what the officers in the field see on their MDT. So the CAD is kind of the real core piece of that. Then they added that RMS is your report management system; that's for records. JMS is jail. FMS is fire. They all do a similar thing; they're all close. Everyone had a couple pluses and minuses.

My fear would be that we compromise on the company that was selected by the committee, the core team, for a couple hundred thousand dollar savings and/or we put ourselves at a vulnerability of being dependent on another agency. You know, we're \$11.4 million budget just on the police side of Public Safety. So, \$200,000 is a lot of money, but we've spent a couple hundred thousand dollars over the last couple of years on a variety of things: a body scanner, the new doorknobs for the jail, and renovations for the jail. I hate to bash the jail, but it seems to be the larger expense on us. We've saved \$650,000 to \$900,000 in the last 12 months on salary due to the numbers we're running short at the department, the police department. So, I don't want to reduce our manpower to what it's at now permanently, but we've made it happen, and we've kept services and we saved almost a million dollars. So I would hate to see a couple hundred thousand be what determines who we go with on a product that every single member of my department is going to use every single day the entire time they're at work for the foreseeable future. Probably 10+ years, at least.

Honestly, I don't have any problems with the Sheriff's Office, but if they ended their contract with Tyler, where do we get left? If they decided to go with a different operating package, where do we end up? As far as the mapping, I think it's a fraction of the big picture pricewise. I can get you the numbers on what our subscription is annually to AccuGlobe. There's a handful of vendors out there. There's some strategic or tactical benefits to piggybacking off the county, but that's also going to be dependent on that they let us in. Once again, I don't see any reason the Sheriff's Office is not going to let us in on their operating information and their calls. But from what I've seen just in my first year as being a Chief, we're a big enough show that we really shouldn't have to ride somebody else's coattails on what they decide to use just to save a couple hundred thousand. I know it's not just a couple hundred thousand, but it seems like every time I turn around there's a couple hundred thousand going out the door. And I just think this is the wrong place to compromise.

There's plenty of IT questions. I would defer to Lisa for more specifics. I've got a handful of people in my department that have done a lot of legwork on this. I wouldn't lose any sleep if we ended up with CentralSquare, Motorola, or Tyler. They're all good products. Motorola is typically a little more premium price and they did that to themselves here again. And honestly I would put them third on the list of those top three big players. But, I mean, that's what I have. Kade sees it in the big picture of things too, and I will support his point. It's not just a \$218,000 initial investment, which is kind of what I've emphasized here. There is an annual subscription savings, along with \$60,000 a year, and that's going to continue probably for the foreseeable future. So that just is going to compound over time. I mean, you could be talking about a savings of over a million dollars in a 10-year period or less. My concern though on that is somebody else is at the driver's seat on what we're purchasing. Do you have any questions?

Mayor Mason: And I want to make sure we look at this in totality because we're also talking about trying to do what we can to coordinate and work with the county on the jail facility. So as the Chief mentioned,

part of this integrates the jail information technology. So one of the reasons I like Tyler is both jails would be on the same system, so they could look at each other's. If you're about ready to transfer a prisoner from one location to the other, you can have a whole history; this is on Tyler. You would have the whole history of what kind of meds that person's on or how they've been treated or anything. So there's some, I'll call it, again, safety aspects that don't go just to the dollars and cents that I'll have to look at. We are trying to figure out the GIS. I would like to be able to make sure, and by the way I just found out today that not every police car knew where every police car was. So we're going to look at that. But I would like to have our dispatchers know where Sheriff's vehicles are and have the Sheriff know where our vehicles are. I'm very concerned over the next decade with all the fentanyl and other drug traffic we've been having and encountering. There's going to be a crisis where we're going to need to know and need to know now, and I think Tyler provides that best.

But again, as the Chief indicated, we've got to have assurance, whether we buy our Tyler system or piggyback off the county, if that's the way we go, we've got to know that the county's going to be staying with that same software vendor also. It doesn't make any sense for us to go with them, and then 2 years later, they would switch. We haven't had a chance to sit down with anybody from the county or the Sheriff's Department and talk about those details.

Mr. Roberts: Chief, I guess the only thing that I would say, and thank you guys for everything that you did as far as putting the numbers together and doing the research. One of the things that we've had issue with, I guess several departments over the last couple of years, when it comes right down to it, we're going to be the ones that have to write the check. And we're the stewards of the taxpayers' money. So the next time you guys create a committee, if we could have a representative here report back to this committee or have this committee take a look at it as well along side of it that would be...

Chief Comstock: Yes, I think it was a bit of a cart-before-the-horse and a little ignorance to the process. It got formed up under the last Chief's administration, and I didn't understand the bid process exactly. It was basically just a lot of good feedback, but then we realized, well we're not feeding it back to the people who need it. So that's ideally why I'm here today. It could have been structured a lot better on that. I guess I was impressed at the initiative that some of the people showed because their thing was they didn't want to get left out on something they use daily.

Mr. Roberts: And we want their input in the mix, by all means.

Chief Comstock: Sure.

Mr. Roberts: But we also have to temper that with we're the body that ends up having to make it.

Chief Comstock: Right.

Mr. Roberts: As far as AccuGlobe, we could take a look at it. They're a standalone platform like 911. So I don't know that that would actually interface. We've actually looked at that. When the county went with Tyler, they wanted AccuGlobe as their mapping, and it didn't happen.

Chief Comstock: Okay.

Mr. Roberts: You can, I'm sure, ask if they can integrate, but I don't know that they can.

Lisa Hittle: I don't think we would want to because of their system is on Silverlight, so it's not functioning.

Chief Comstock: Yes, we've lost a lot of...

Mr. Roberts: I'm sorry, did you say Silverlight?

Lisa Hittle: I did.

Mr. Roberts: Whose?

Lisa Hittle: AccuGlobe's.

Chief Comstock: We've lost a lot of the capabilities.

Mr. Roberts: Silverlight is no longer supported by Microsoft.

Lisa Hittle: That is a correct statement, yes.

Chief Comstock: Right, and that's what we've lost a lot of. If we weren't in the process of buying a new CAD, we would be in the process of finding a new mapping vendor. It'll happen in the process kind of a thing, and we'll part ways with them. Yes, I'm by no means a proponent for AccuGlobe.

Mr. Roberts: Okay, good deal. Any other questions from Committee for Chief or anybody else? Lisa?

Lisa Hittle: Still do not have sound.

Mr. Roberts: Okay, I have a written statement from Mr. Embrey. *(Reading from text)*. It says, this is a snippet from a contract. The contract sum demolition value is attached master budget \$240,267. And then he sent, this is the total that covers asbestos abatement plus demo of the rear. Chris from Premier Construction has verified with Jason Baughman that we can do demo under current permit. We have transferred the money and ready to send escrow, which should be completed by Thursday. We will have the asbestos and some level of demo mobilized within 30 days if all goes to plan. That's all I got.

Matt Schley: I can say that's about what I had been told on Thursday.

Mr. Roberts: Okay.

Matt Schley: I did ask for more information. However, I did not receive that in time for this committee meeting.

Mr. Roberts: Okay. So based on this timeline, 30 days...so he anticipates having demolition done in August?

Matt Schley: That's what he had relayed to me.

Mr. Roberts: Okay, and that's on the rear portions of the structure?

Matt Schley: Yes, so it would be the rear portion of the structure. I don't have what you have in front of me, Mr. Roberts, so I can't speak to what his contract said.

Mr. Roberts: It was just a snippet from the contract, so I don't.

Matt Schley: I can say the plans that were submitted to Jason involved the stabilization of the northern wall, as well as the façade and trying to keep that as structurally sound as possible. His goal was to save the façade and then build back again from where the demolition was. But, to what degree that that funding brings you to that level? I can't say without seeing a copy of the contract.

Mr. Roberts: And I'm just basing this off of what I'm reading here. But he is saying the rear portions of the structure. So I would take that to mean, you guys remember those pictures. There was the, is it 4-story out front, and then there was a staggered kind of 2 and 3 story in the rear that was in really bad shape. And I think in previous meetings, Nate had talked about he wanted to bring all of that stuff, all of the staggered stuff in the rear, down. And I think that's what this would do.

Matt Schley: That would make sense. I can say that he has installed some staircases inside the building recently within the last 2 weeks. So, that would be my assumption, is that would be that goal. It is one step forward. Obviously that is the first step. But, that façade, we wouldn't want it to set there for an extended period of time.

Mr. Roberts: Right. Questions or comments from the committee? All right. Well, I mean, he's moving, I suppose.

Matt Schley: We're going to be documenting the condition of the building going forward every week. Just so that way we will have, in case at same point, we start to see things that could cause a further issue, we'll be able to have documentation showing the timeline of progression. We have by and large worked with Nate, and we will continue to work with Nate to try and come to a solution on this problem. But, as long as there's movement going forward. If movement ceases, that will change the conversation a little bit.

Mr. Roberts: Anything else?

Mr. Vincent: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Roberts: Mr. Vincent.

Mr. Vincent: Can we jump back a moment to the computer aided dispatch?

Mr. Roberts: By all means.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you. So with that, I was just listening to it, and just kind of a high level hearing, kind of limited stuff that we've heard tonight though. So in summary of what I'm thinking here as far as we've heard about three systems. Tyler does sound much less expensive, and kind of to your point that is one piece we need to look at then too. The concerns I heard were if the county ends the contract with Tyler, what happens? So I want to turn to Lisa and ask, what would happen? Would we have to get our own servers then and make some changes?

Lisa Hittle: That is correct.

Mr. Vincent: So we wouldn't just be out.

Lisa Hittle: That is correct. No, we would just pull the data from the county servers, and we would have to purchase additional for us, yes.

Mr. Roberts: Well, and Dan, I think Kade has an option for a standalone system.

Kade Haddox: Yes.

Mayor Mason: There's two options – one we own standalone and two is we piggyback, correct?

Mr. Vincent: Okay.

Mrs. Osborn: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Roberts: Mrs. Osborn.

Mrs. Osborn: But then doesn't that negate the...?

Mr. Roberts: The costs are basically the exact same. So, yes even if we were standalone. And Chief, correct me if I'm wrong here. So let's say the city bought Tyler standalone. It's still all on the basis of Tyler. So then it can link.

Mrs. Osborn: Talk, okay. Thank you.

Mr. Vincent: Some other things then, as far as counting up all these concerns of the Chief's. I think that would be figured out ahead of time, as far as being negotiated as far as what would be allowed as far as sharing of information. It would be known up front. That would have to be done before making a decision.

Mr. Roberts: I think you would need an operating agreement.

Mr. Vincent: There you go, an operating agreement. Thank you. I have talked about this for years, I like the idea of a backup location. What happens if a tornado hits one site? You know, if we have a second. I mean this is kind of the hub of all Public Safety for our area and the county. So to me, that's a plus, as far as being on the same system and be able to seamlessly switch from one location to another. It could save a lot of hassles and maybe even lives too. I also thought too, and Lisa probably knows this better. Everything I've seen from the county as far as their IT department, they're very supportive and a good department to work with. So that might be a benefit then too. If we were to go to Tyler, they know the system, and between our IT and their IT, maybe that could be beneficial as far as fixing problems if that occurs afterhours or holidays and things like that.

Mr. Roberts: Image Action manages the Sheriff's system.

Mr. Vincent: I'm sorry?

Mr. Roberts: Image Computer Solutions manages the Sheriff's system.

Mr. Vincent: Okay. So not the IT department?

Mr. Roberts: Not the county IT department, no. They're on a separate contract.

Mr. Vincent: Okay so with that, whatever system we go with, is our IT Department going to handle that, or are we going to need to do something like what the county does and have someone else manage it?

Lisa Hittle: Yes, we would handle that.

Mr. Vincent: Okay. And then one other thought I had, and I shared this with the Mayor as far as I've been thinking about this. Where I work, as far as they have a shared system, Epic is a shared system as far as medical records, and that saves a lot of hassles as far as searching. Healthcare systems also have Epic or go to a doctor's office, it sure makes it nice as far as having information. It's very beneficial. So those are all the thoughts I had. I don't really know anything about the systems, but I've always heard that Tyler was awful. But checking with Lisa again, that was all stuff from long ago and sounded like it was not understanding the system. But for years I heard Tyler was not good, bad, and beware.

Mayor Mason: Just to be clear, there were certain people who are not computer savvy in this building who blamed Tyler for a lot. And they just were people who were not computer savvy.

Mr. Vincent: Yes, and that's my understanding now. So I'm just thinking back years ago. Andy's been here on Council a long time. You probably heard those things too. And it was in our minds with Tyler to just stay away. But it sounds like that's not the case.

Mr. Roberts: And I can tell you Dan, I work with Tyler. It is highly customizable. Anytime that you make a piece of software that is highly customizable, there is room for error. So you either have a choice between dumb software that works all the time or really smart software that might have a hiccup here and there.

Kade Haddox: They're a broad software that covers a lot of different areas, accounting, payroll.

Mr. Roberts: Yes, from an enterprise standpoint, I don't know that there's anybody else that has government covered that way. It's just a fact. It's been a couple years since I had shopped all of these systems. It was 2015. But still a lot of the same players are in the same realm. They all do the same thing. One of them is going to have this bell, this whistle, and one of them is going to do this module better, and one of them is going to do this. I'm not trying to put you down or anything Chief, but they all do the same thing. And NextGen is great. I mean it is all driven off of maps, so the point comes straight back up through the map and pulls all the information out and sends the call.

Mr. Vincent: Okay, and we have Tyler where now?

Lisa Hittle: We have Tyler here as finance.

Mr. Vincent: That's it?

Kade Haddox: Basically for every other thing. We also use it in the Utilities, don't we?

Lisa Hittle: Right, yes.

Kade Haddox: So everywhere other than Safety, we use Tyler now. So the whole city would be under the same software.

Mr. Vincent: Thank you. I know it's not Council's decision, but I at least wanted to get some additional information. So, thank you.

Mr. Ballmer: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Roberts: Mr. Ballmer.

Mr. Ballmer: Is there a timeline as to how quickly this all has to be done?

Chief Comstock: The biggest concern for us right now is our current vendor, CMI, has stopped doing updates. I don't know, there's some requirements I think through the FBI right now that we're not meeting. We have a grace period. Real world, I was hoping for, I believe it was June of next year. That was possible, but more than likely it could even be January '25, just due to the amount of the data migration coming in from everything, how far back we want to go and how much data we want to transfer, which also comes with a price tag. And then just implementing it. I mean, just installing the actual hardware and downloading everything and then getting the training out there. ASAP is honestly the answer. The rebids came in on June 5, so they're good for 30 days. So the day after the 4th, we would definitely need to have made a selection and then we would move forward from there. But, it'll take Glen and Lisa a year or more to make this a reality. And at that point, we're just along for the ride with CMI right now. We're not obligated to anything contractually. There might be a prorated amount or something or we might end up

leaving a little money on the table there with our current contract when we switch. But ASAP, just for the requirements and for the user benefit.

The Tyler benefit, obviously sharing the information. I see that. Mr. Vincent had some really good questions there on some of those. I'm not here to pound my fists or say this should be my decision. My only real strong caution would be if we're going with Tyler for the cost savings, I understand it. If we bought it outright so that we weren't dependent on the county, then my argument would be your \$300. When you're talking a price tag north of \$676,000 to have two comparable companies within \$300 of one another, the one that was selected, whose focus is primarily only on Public Safety. The Fire Department and Police Department both selected CentralSquare. Mr. Roberts is right; they all have that one little bell or whistle that they dangle in front of you that they do that nobody else does. Ultimately, they all do the same job. We can function on Tyler, but we can also function on Motorola and CentralSquare. It was just CentralSquare is what my people selected, for whatever that's worth.

Mr. Ballmer: Thank you.

Mr. Roberts: Any other questions, comments, or suggestions? Anything else for the good of the order? I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Ballmer: So moved.

Mr. Foreman: Second.

Mr. Roberts: A motion and a second. All those in favor, aye. Opposed same sign.

A voice vote was taken with all being in favor. None were opposed.

Mr. Roberts: We stand adjourned. Thank you, all.

Mr. Roberts called the committee meeting to a close about 6:29 p.m.

Andy Roberts, Chairman

Billie Corns, Clerk for Council

Public Safety Committee
Andy Roberts, Chair

ORDINANCE #2023-67
INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE PROPER CITY OFFICIAL TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PURCHASE AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES VEHICLE AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, The City's Fire Division currently operates an Emergency Medic Unit (ambulance), known as Medic 1; and

WHEREAS, due to an announcement of the reduction of service by a locally based EMS service, the Zanesville Fire Division Medic 1 will need to increase availability to respond to additional 911 calls for service; and

WHEREAS, by increasing availability the City will need to add an additional EMS vehicle to its vehicle fleet in order to cover time periods when Medic 1 is out of service; and

WHEREAS, The U.S. Treasury Final Rule regarding the use of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds states that the purchase of emergency medical services vehicles is an allowable expense within the program; and

WHEREAS, Failure to purchase additional equipment in a timely manner would cause adverse impacts on the health and safety of the Citizens of Zanesville.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Zanesville, State of Ohio, that:

SECTION ONE: Due to the time sensitive nature of this situation the proper City Official is hereby authorized to solicit informal quotes rather than conduct a formal bidding process and shall enter into a contract for the purchase of an emergency medical services vehicle (ambulance).

SECTION TWO: The Budget & Finance Director is hereby authorized to execute all necessary documents for the use of ARPA/SLFRF funds for the purchase of the medic unit and equipment associated with this ordinance.

SECTION THREE: The cost of the Medic Unit is estimated to be \$300,000.00. The purchase of additional equipment required to outfit the Medic Unit is estimated to be \$60,000. A total estimated expenditure of \$360,000 shall come from line 354-7951-56185.

ORDINANCE #2023-67

SECTION FOUR: For the reasons stated herein, this ordinance is declared to be an emergency measure provided it receives the affirmative vote of six (6) or more members of City Council, this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after the earliest period allowed by law.

PASSED: _____, 2023

ATTEST: _____

**BILLIE CORNS
CLERK OF COUNCIL**

**DANIEL M. VINCENT
PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL**

APPROVED: _____, 2023

**THIS LEGISLATION APPROVED
AS TO FORM**

**DON MASON,
Mayor**

LAW DIRECTOR'S OFFICE